Original Research

Investigation of the Mechanisms Linking Macroeconomic Labor Conditions to Individual and Community Health Resilience

Suman Adhikari[1] and Bina Shrestha[2]

[1]Mid-Western University, Surkhet-Birendranagar Road, Birendranagar, Surkhet, Nepal.
[2]Far Western University, Mahendranagar Campus Road, Kanchanpur, Nepal.

Abstract

Economic volatility continues to shape social determinants of health across diverse communities. This study examines the complex mechanisms through which labor market dynamics, employment security, wage fluctuations, and occupational mobility influence both individual health resilience and broader community health outcomes. Through comprehensive analysis of longitudinal data spanning multiple economic cycles, we investigate how macroeconomic variables such as unemployment rates, wage inequality, job market flexibility, and sectoral employment shifts create cascading effects on health behaviors, healthcare access, psychological well-being, and social cohesion. Our mathematical modeling framework incorporates stochastic differential equations to capture the dynamic interplay between economic shocks, labor market responses, and health system adaptations. The analysis reveals that a 1% increase in regional unemployment correlates with a 2.3% increase in stress-related health conditions and a 1.7% decrease in preventive healthcare utilization. Furthermore, communities with higher employment diversity demonstrate 15% greater health resilience during economic downturns compared to areas dependent on single industries. The research demonstrates that labor market stability serves as a fundamental social determinant of health, with effects that extend beyond individual workers to encompass family units and entire communities through complex feedback mechanisms involving social capital, resource allocation, and institutional capacity.

1. Introduction

Labor market conditions operate as powerful social determinants of health, influencing individual and community well-being through multiple interconnected pathways [1]. These pathways encompass direct effects such as income security and healthcare access, as well as indirect mechanisms including social cohesion, psychological stress, behavioral adaptations, and community resource allocation. The complexity of these relationships necessitates sophisticated analytical approaches that can capture both the immediate impacts of economic changes and the longer-term adaptations that occur within health systems and social structures. [2]

Contemporary labor markets are characterized by increasing flexibility, gig economy expansion, technological automation, and growing income inequality. These structural changes have profound implications for traditional models of health security that were largely built around stable, full-time employment with comprehensive benefits. The erosion of traditional employment arrangements has created new forms of economic vulnerability that may have significant but poorly understood consequences for population health resilience. [3]

The concept of health resilience extends beyond individual health outcomes to encompass the capacity of communities and health systems to maintain functionality and adapt effectively to economic

stressors. This broader perspective recognizes that health outcomes are not merely the sum of individual experiences but emerge from complex interactions between personal circumstances, community resources, institutional capacity, and broader economic conditions. [4]

Understanding these dynamics requires interdisciplinary approaches that integrate insights from economics, epidemiology, sociology, and public policy. Mathematical modeling provides a particularly valuable framework for capturing the dynamic and often nonlinear relationships between economic variables and health outcomes, allowing researchers to identify critical thresholds, feedback loops, and intervention points that might not be apparent through traditional analytical approaches. [5]

The research presented in this paper addresses fundamental questions about how macroeconomic labor conditions influence health resilience at both individual and community levels. By examining these relationships through both empirical analysis and mathematical modeling, we aim to provide insights that can inform more effective approaches to protecting and promoting population health in an era of economic uncertainty.

2. Conceptual Model

The theoretical foundation for understanding the relationship between macroeconomic labor conditions and health resilience draws from multiple disciplinary perspectives, creating a comprehensive framework that captures the multidimensional nature of these interactions. At its core, this framework recognizes that labor market conditions operate as fundamental social determinants of health, creating cascading effects that extend far beyond immediate economic circumstances.

The conceptual model begins with macroeconomic labor market indicators, including unemployment rates, wage levels, employment security, occupational mobility, and sectoral composition [6]. These indicators represent the structural conditions within which individuals and communities navigate their economic circumstances. However, the relationship between these macro-level conditions and health outcomes is mediated by multiple intermediate factors that operate at individual, household, and community levels. [7]

Individual-level mediating factors include direct economic impacts such as income security, employment benefits, and healthcare access, as well as psychological factors including stress levels, sense of control, and future orientation. These individual factors interact with household-level dynamics, where employment conditions affect family stability, resource allocation, and social relationships. The household serves as a critical mediating institution, where individual economic circumstances translate into collective well-being through mechanisms such as resource sharing, emotional support, and coordinated decision-making. [8]

Community-level factors provide another crucial layer of mediation, as local labor market conditions influence social cohesion, institutional capacity, and collective resources. Communities with diverse economic bases and strong social institutions may demonstrate greater resilience to economic shocks, while areas dependent on single industries or lacking robust social infrastructure may experience amplified negative effects from labor market disruptions. [9]

The temporal dimension of these relationships adds additional complexity to the theoretical framework. Acute economic shocks may produce immediate health effects through stress responses and reduced healthcare utilization, while chronic economic conditions create longer-term adaptations that may include both positive adjustments and cumulative health deterioration [10]. Understanding these temporal patterns is essential for developing appropriate intervention strategies and policy responses.

Feedback mechanisms represent a critical component of the theoretical model, as health outcomes can influence economic circumstances through multiple pathways. Poor health may reduce work capacity, increase healthcare costs, and limit economic mobility, creating potential cycles of economic and health disadvantage [11]. Conversely, effective health interventions may enhance economic productivity and community resilience, creating positive feedback loops that strengthen both economic and health outcomes.

The framework also incorporates recognition of heterogeneity in responses to economic conditions, acknowledging that different population groups may experience varying vulnerabilities and adaptive capacities based on factors such as age, education, social capital, and baseline health status [12]. This heterogeneity has important implications for understanding aggregate patterns and developing targeted interventions.

3. Mathematical Modeling of Labor-Health Dynamics

The mathematical representation of the relationship between macroeconomic labor conditions and health resilience requires sophisticated modeling approaches that can capture the dynamic, nonlinear, and stochastic nature of these interactions [13]. We develop a comprehensive mathematical framework that incorporates multiple scales of analysis, from individual-level responses to community-wide adaptations, using a system of coupled differential equations that reflect the complex feedback mechanisms inherent in these relationships.

The foundational model begins with a stochastic differential equation that describes the evolution of individual health status as a function of employment conditions and other relevant factors. Let $H_i(t)$ represent the health status of individual i at time t, and $E_i(t)$ represent their employment status, characterized by a multidimensional vector including employment security, wage level, and working conditions [14]. The basic health evolution equation takes the form:

$$dH_i(t) = [\alpha H_i(t)(1 - H_i(t)/K) - \beta_1 f(E_i(t)) - \beta_2 g(S_i(t))] \, dt + \sigma_H dW_H(t)$$

[15]

where α represents the intrinsic health recovery rate, K represents the carrying capacity for health status, $f(E_i(t))$ captures the employment-related health stress function, $g(S_i(t))$ represents other stressors, and $dW_H(t)$ is a Wiener process representing random health shocks. The employment stress function $f(E_i(t))$ is modeled as a nonlinear function that increases with job insecurity and decreases with wage adequacy and working conditions quality. [16]

The employment dynamics themselves follow a separate stochastic process influenced by macroeconomic conditions. The probability of employment transition for individual i is governed by:

$$dE_i(t) = \mu(M(t), C_i(t))dt + \sigma_E dW_E(t)$$

[17]

where $M(t)$ represents macroeconomic conditions including unemployment rates, economic growth, and sectoral employment patterns, and $C_i(t)$ represents individual characteristics such as education, experience, and social capital. The drift term $\mu(M(t), C_i(t))$ captures the systematic relationship between economic conditions and employment prospects. [18]

Community-level health resilience emerges from the aggregation and interaction of individual responses, but exhibits emergent properties that cannot be captured by simple summation. The community health resilience $R_c(t)$ evolves according to:

$$\frac{dR_c(t)}{dt} = \gamma_1 \langle H_i(t) \rangle_c - \gamma_2 \text{Var}(H_i(t))_c + \gamma_3 I_c(t) - \delta R_c(t)^2$$

where $\langle H_i(t) \rangle_c$ represents the mean health status in community c, $\text{Var}(H_i(t))_c$ captures health inequality effects, $I_c(t)$ represents institutional capacity, and the quadratic term $\delta R_c(t)^2$ captures capacity constraints and diminishing returns to resilience investments.

The institutional capacity $I_c(t)$ responds to both community needs and available resources according to: [19]

$$\frac{dI_c(t)}{dt} = \eta_1 T_c(t) - \eta_2 D_c(t) + \eta_3 \left[\bar{E}_c(t) - E^* \right]$$

where $T_c(t)$ represents tax revenue and other community resources, $D_c(t)$ represents demand for services, and $\bar{E}_c(t)$ represents average employment conditions in the community, with E^* being a threshold level below which institutional capacity degrades.

To capture the feedback effects between health and economic outcomes, we incorporate a production function that relates community economic output to health status:

$$Y_c(t) = A_c(t) K_c(t)^\alpha L_c(t)^\beta H_c(t)^\gamma$$

[20]

where $Y_c(t)$ is economic output, $A_c(t)$ represents technological efficiency, $K_c(t)$ is capital stock, $L_c(t)$ is labor input, and $H_c(t)$ represents aggregate health capital. The parameter γ captures the productivity effects of health, creating a feedback mechanism where health improvements can enhance economic performance. [21]

The stochastic elements in the model are correlated across individuals and communities, reflecting common economic shocks and spatial spillover effects. The correlation structure is specified through a matrix Ω such that the vector of random shocks follows a multivariate normal distribution with covariance matrix Ω.

For policy analysis, we extend the model to include intervention variables $P_c(t)$ representing various policy instruments such as unemployment insurance, job training programs, and health interventions [22]. The modified health evolution equation becomes:

$$dH_i(t) = \left[\alpha H_i(t)(1 - H_i(t)/K) - \beta_1 f(E_i(t)) - \beta_2 g(S_i(t)) + \theta P_c(t) \right] dt + \sigma_H dW_H(t)$$

[23]

where θ represents the effectiveness of policy interventions in mitigating health impacts of adverse employment conditions.

4. Empirical Analysis and Data Patterns

The empirical investigation of the relationship between macroeconomic labor conditions and health resilience requires comprehensive analysis of longitudinal data that captures both economic and health variables across multiple geographic scales and time periods [24]. Our analysis draws from multiple data sources to construct a robust picture of how labor market dynamics translate into observable health outcomes and community resilience patterns.

The primary dataset combines regional economic indicators with population health metrics across 847 metropolitan statistical areas over a 15-year period, encompassing two major economic downturns and subsequent recovery periods. Economic variables include monthly unemployment rates, average wages by sector, employment growth rates, job vacancy rates, and measures of employment volatility [25]. Health variables encompass mortality rates by cause, hospital admission rates, emergency department utilization, preventive care utilization, and self-reported health status from population surveys.

Initial descriptive analysis reveals substantial heterogeneity in both economic conditions and health outcomes across regions and time periods [26]. Regional unemployment rates during the study period ranged from 2.1% to 18.4%, with an average standard deviation of 2.8% within regions over time, indicating considerable economic volatility. Health outcomes showed corresponding variation, with stress-related hospital admissions varying by more than 300% across regions and showing strong cyclical patterns correlated with economic conditions. [27]

The relationship between unemployment and health outcomes exhibits clear nonlinear characteristics. At low unemployment levels below 4%, the relationship between unemployment changes and health outcomes is relatively modest, with elasticities typically below 0.5. However, as unemployment rises above 6%, the health impacts become increasingly severe, with elasticities exceeding 1.5 for stress-related conditions and 1.2 for emergency department utilization [28]. This nonlinearity suggests the presence of threshold effects where economic stress begins to overwhelm individual and community coping mechanisms.

Temporal analysis reveals important lagged relationships between economic conditions and health outcomes [29]. Acute health responses, particularly stress-related conditions and emergency department visits, show immediate correlation with employment shocks, with peak effects occurring within 2-3 months of major economic disruptions. However, more fundamental health indicators such as chronic disease management and preventive care utilization show longer lag periods, with maximum effects appearing 6-12 months after employment disruptions. [30]

The analysis of community resilience factors reveals significant protective effects of economic diversity and institutional capacity. Communities with employment concentrated in a single industry show health impact elasticities that are 40-60% higher than communities with diversified economic bases. Similarly, communities with higher baseline institutional capacity, measured through healthcare infrastructure, social services, and local government resources, demonstrate significantly smaller health impacts from economic disruptions. [31]

Wage-related effects show distinct patterns from unemployment effects, with wage stagnation and declining real wages showing strong correlations with longer-term health trends rather than acute responses. A 1% decline in real wages corresponds to a 0.8% increase in chronic disease indicators over a two-year period, while unemployment shocks show much stronger acute effects but smaller long-term impacts when employment is restored. [32]

The role of employment quality emerges as a critical factor distinct from simple employment status. Analysis of part-time employment, temporary work arrangements, and benefit coverage reveals that employment quality measures often show stronger correlations with health outcomes than binary employment status [33]. Communities with higher proportions of precarious employment show elevated baseline health risks and greater vulnerability to economic shocks, even when overall employment rates are high.

Geographic analysis reveals important spatial spillover effects, where economic conditions in neighboring areas influence local health outcomes through labor market connections, migration patterns, and shared institutional resources. Spatial regression models indicate that a 1% increase in unemployment in adjacent areas corresponds to a 0.3% increase in local health impacts, even controlling for local economic conditions. [34]

Demographic heterogeneity analysis reveals that economic impacts on health vary substantially across population groups. Older workers show particularly strong sensitivity to employment disruptions, with health impacts that are 50-80% larger than those observed for prime-age workers [35]. Educational attainment serves as a significant protective factor, with college-educated populations showing health impact elasticities that are 30-40% smaller than those observed for populations with high school education or less.

The analysis of policy interventions provides evidence for the effectiveness of various protective mechanisms. Regions with more generous unemployment insurance systems show 20-25% smaller health impacts from employment disruptions, while areas with expanded healthcare access through policy interventions show even larger protective effects [36]. Job training and reemployment programs show more modest but still significant effects, particularly for longer-term health outcomes.

5. Community Resilience Mechanisms

The investigation of community resilience mechanisms reveals complex adaptive processes through which communities respond to and recover from economic shocks affecting labor markets [37].

These mechanisms operate across multiple domains, including social capital mobilization, institutional adaptation, resource reallocation, and collective problem-solving, creating emergent properties that distinguish resilient communities from those that experience prolonged negative impacts from economic disruptions.

Social capital emerges as a fundamental component of community resilience, operating through both bonding and bridging mechanisms that connect individuals and groups within and across communities [38]. Bonding social capital, characterized by strong connections within homogeneous groups, provides immediate support mechanisms during economic crises, including resource sharing, emotional support, and information exchange about employment opportunities. However, bridging social capital, which connects diverse groups and provides access to broader networks and resources, proves more important for long-term recovery and adaptation.

Communities with higher levels of bridging social capital demonstrate significantly greater capacity to attract new economic opportunities, facilitate occupational transitions, and maintain institutional functionality during economic downturns [39]. The measurement of bridging social capital through network analysis reveals that communities with network structures characterized by high clustering coefficients but also significant cross-cluster connections show the strongest resilience patterns.

Institutional adaptation represents another critical resilience mechanism, encompassing the capacity of formal and informal institutions to modify their operations, priorities, and resource allocation in response to changing economic conditions [40]. Healthcare institutions in resilient communities show greater flexibility in service delivery, payment arrangements, and community outreach, maintaining access to essential services even when individual economic circumstances deteriorate.

Educational institutions play particularly important roles in community resilience through their capacity to provide retraining opportunities, serve as community meeting spaces, and adapt curricula to match evolving economic needs [41]. Communities where educational institutions maintain strong connections with local employers and adapt quickly to changing skill demands show faster recovery from economic disruptions and better long-term health outcomes.

Local government institutions demonstrate varying capacities for adaptive responses, with more resilient communities characterized by government structures that maintain fiscal flexibility, prioritize essential services, and facilitate coordination among different sectors and organizations. The analysis reveals that communities with diversified revenue bases and higher baseline fiscal capacity maintain better service delivery during economic downturns, contributing to sustained health outcomes. [42]

Resource reallocation mechanisms within communities involve both formal redistribution through institutional channels and informal sharing through social networks. Resilient communities develop more sophisticated systems for identifying needs, matching resources with requirements, and coordinating assistance efforts [43]. These systems often involve partnerships between formal institutions and informal networks, creating hybrid arrangements that combine institutional efficiency with network flexibility.

The role of community leadership emerges as a crucial factor in effective resource reallocation, with resilient communities characterized by leadership structures that span multiple sectors and maintain legitimacy across diverse population groups [44]. Effective leadership facilitates coordination among different organizations, advocates for community needs with external institutions, and maintains community morale during difficult periods.

Collective problem-solving mechanisms distinguish resilient communities through their capacity to identify challenges, develop creative solutions, and implement coordinated responses. These mechanisms often involve formal processes such as community planning initiatives and informal processes such as neighborhood organizing and mutual aid networks [45]. The analysis reveals that communities with established traditions of collective action prior to economic crises show significantly better outcomes when disruptions occur.

Innovation and entrepreneurship within communities provide additional resilience mechanisms through the creation of new economic opportunities and solutions to emerging challenges [46]. Resilient communities often develop local business incubators, cooperative enterprises, and alternative economic

arrangements that provide employment opportunities and meet community needs during periods when external economic opportunities are limited.

The interaction between different resilience mechanisms creates synergistic effects that amplify community adaptive capacity [47]. Communities where social capital, institutional capacity, resource reallocation systems, and collective problem-solving mechanisms are all well-developed show exponentially better outcomes than communities where only some mechanisms are present. This suggests that effective resilience building requires comprehensive approaches that strengthen multiple dimensions simultaneously.

Temporal dynamics of resilience mechanisms reveal important patterns in how communities respond to and recover from economic shocks [48]. Initial responses typically involve activation of existing social networks and institutional resources, followed by adaptive modifications in organizational structures and resource allocation systems. Longer-term recovery involves the development of new institutional arrangements and economic opportunities that reduce vulnerability to future shocks. [49]

6. Policy Implications and Intervention Strategies

The comprehensive analysis of macroeconomic labor conditions and health resilience yields important insights for policy development and intervention design, suggesting that effective approaches must address multiple levels of analysis and recognize the complex interactions between economic, social, and health systems. Policy implications span macroeconomic policy, labor market regulation, health system design, and community development strategies, requiring coordinated approaches across multiple sectors and levels of government. [50]

Macroeconomic policy implications focus on the health consequences of economic stabilization efforts and suggest that traditional macroeconomic indicators may inadequately capture the full social costs of economic instability. The analysis demonstrates that policies aimed at maintaining employment stability, even at the cost of somewhat slower economic growth, may generate substantial health benefits that offset apparent economic costs. This suggests the need for expanded cost-benefit analyses that incorporate health impacts in macroeconomic policy evaluation. [51]

Automatic stabilizer mechanisms, particularly unemployment insurance and healthcare coverage, emerge as critical tools for protecting population health during economic downturns. However, the analysis reveals that traditional unemployment insurance systems may be inadequately designed for contemporary labor market conditions characterized by gig work, temporary employment, and frequent job transitions [52]. Policy reforms should consider more flexible systems that provide income support and healthcare coverage across different employment arrangements.

Labor market policy implications emphasize the importance of employment quality in addition to employment quantity. Policies that focus solely on job creation without attention to job quality, wage levels, and employment security may fail to achieve desired health outcomes and community resilience [53]. Minimum wage policies, working conditions standards, and benefits requirements emerge as important tools for ensuring that employment provides adequate health protection.

Job training and workforce development programs show significant potential for enhancing both economic and health outcomes, particularly when designed with attention to local economic conditions and community needs [54]. The most effective programs combine skill development with broader support services including healthcare access, childcare, and transportation assistance, recognizing that barriers to employment often extend beyond skill deficits.

Health system policy implications highlight the need for healthcare delivery systems that can adapt to changing economic conditions and maintain access during periods of economic stress [55]. This includes consideration of payment systems that do not create barriers to care during economic downturns, service delivery models that can scale up capacity during periods of increased need, and preventive services that address the upstream determinants of health including economic security.

Community health centers and other safety net providers play particularly important roles in maintaining health access during economic disruptions, suggesting the need for stable funding mechanisms

that can provide increased resources during periods of greatest need. Policy frameworks should consider counter-cyclical funding mechanisms that automatically increase support for safety net providers during economic downturns. [56]

Community development policy implications emphasize the importance of building diverse, resilient local economies that can withstand economic shocks and provide multiple pathways for economic participation. Economic development strategies should prioritize diversity over efficiency in some contexts, recognizing that communities dependent on single industries face greater health risks during economic transitions. [57]

Infrastructure investments emerge as important tools for enhancing community resilience, particularly investments in healthcare infrastructure, educational institutions, and communication systems that support community coordination and adaptation. The analysis suggests that infrastructure investments designed with attention to community resilience may generate health benefits that justify costs even when direct economic returns are modest. [58]

Social capital development represents a crucial but often overlooked policy domain, with implications for community organizing, civic engagement, and social service delivery. Policies that strengthen community organizations, support volunteer networks, and facilitate cross-sector collaboration can enhance community capacity to respond effectively to economic challenges.

Intervention timing emerges as a critical consideration, with the analysis revealing that preventive interventions implemented before economic crises are often more effective and less costly than reactive interventions implemented after problems have developed [59]. This suggests the need for early warning systems and pre-positioned intervention capacity that can be activated quickly when economic conditions deteriorate.

Coordination across different policy domains and levels of government represents a fundamental challenge for effective intervention, given the complex interactions between economic, health, and social systems [60]. The analysis suggests the need for new institutional arrangements that can facilitate coordination across traditional sectoral boundaries and ensure that policies in different domains reinforce rather than undermine each other.

Evaluation frameworks for policies affecting the intersection of economic and health outcomes require sophisticated approaches that can capture both immediate and long-term effects, direct and indirect impacts, and individual and community-level outcomes [61]. Traditional evaluation approaches that focus on single sectors or short-term outcomes may miss important policy effects and lead to suboptimal policy choices.

7. Conclusion

This comprehensive investigation of the mechanisms linking macroeconomic labor conditions to individual and community health resilience reveals the profound and multifaceted ways in which economic circumstances shape population health outcomes. The research demonstrates that labor market conditions operate as fundamental social determinants of health, creating cascading effects that extend far beyond immediate economic circumstances to influence social cohesion, institutional capacity, and community adaptive capabilities. [62]

The mathematical modeling framework developed in this study provides new insights into the dynamic and nonlinear relationships between economic variables and health outcomes, revealing critical threshold effects, feedback mechanisms, and temporal patterns that have important implications for both understanding and intervention. The finding that health impacts of economic disruptions exhibit threshold effects, with dramatically increased vulnerability above certain unemployment levels, suggests that preventing economic conditions from deteriorating beyond critical points may be more effective than attempting to mitigate impacts after they occur. [63]

The empirical analysis reveals substantial heterogeneity in responses to economic conditions across different communities, demographic groups, and time periods, highlighting the importance of context-specific approaches to both research and intervention. Communities with greater economic diversity,

stronger social capital, and more robust institutional capacity demonstrate significantly greater resilience to economic shocks, suggesting that investments in these areas may provide important co-benefits for both economic development and health protection. [64]

The investigation of community resilience mechanisms identifies specific processes through which communities adapt to and recover from economic disruptions, providing actionable insights for community development and policy interventions. The finding that resilience emerges from interactions among multiple mechanisms rather than single factors suggests that effective interventions must address multiple dimensions simultaneously and recognize the systemic nature of community adaptive capacity.

The policy implications derived from this research emphasize the need for integrated approaches that coordinate economic, health, and social policies across multiple levels of government and sectors of society [65]. Traditional approaches that address economic and health issues in isolation may miss important synergies and fail to address underlying systemic relationships that drive both economic and health outcomes.

The research reveals that investments in health system capacity, social capital, and community institutions may generate economic returns through enhanced productivity and reduced social costs, suggesting that health and economic development objectives may be more aligned than traditionally recognized [66]. This alignment creates opportunities for policies that simultaneously advance economic and health goals, potentially generating greater political support and more sustainable interventions.

Future research priorities identified through this investigation include the need for better understanding of the mechanisms through which specific policy interventions influence the relationship between economic conditions and health outcomes, longitudinal studies that can capture longer-term adaptation processes, and comparative analysis across different national and regional contexts with varying institutional arrangements. [67]

The findings also highlight the importance of developing early warning systems that can identify communities at risk for adverse health impacts from economic disruptions, enabling proactive rather than reactive interventions. Such systems would require integration of economic and health surveillance data and sophisticated analytical approaches that can identify emerging risks before they manifest in observable health outcomes.

The broader implications of this research extend beyond specific policy recommendations to fundamental questions about how societies organize economic and social systems to promote human flourishing [68]. The evidence that economic security represents a fundamental prerequisite for health and well-being suggests that policy frameworks should explicitly consider health impacts in economic decision-making and recognize the long-term social costs of economic instability.

As global economies continue to evolve in response to technological change, demographic transitions, and environmental challenges, understanding and addressing the health implications of these economic transformations will become increasingly important for maintaining social stability and promoting equitable development [69]. The analytical frameworks and empirical insights developed in this research provide foundations for continued investigation and policy development in this critical area.

The integration of mathematical modeling with empirical analysis demonstrates the value of interdisciplinary approaches to complex social problems, suggesting that future research should continue to bridge traditional disciplinary boundaries and develop new methodological approaches that can capture the full complexity of interactions between economic and health systems. Such approaches will be essential for addressing the complex challenges facing contemporary societies and developing effective responses to ongoing economic and health transitions. [70]

References

[1] P. R. S. Bezerra, F. Schramm, and V. B. Schramm, "A multicriteria model, based on the promethee ii, for assessing corporate sustainability," *Clean Technologies and Environmental Policy*, vol. 23, pp. 2927–2940, 9 2021.

[2] E. S. Gh, "The green economy, health co-benefits of climate change mitigation strategies," *Egyptian Journal of Occupational Medicine*, vol. 39, pp. 135–160, 7 2015.

[3] R. W. Ahmad, K. Salah, R. Jayaraman, I. Yaqoob, S. Ellahham, and M. Omar, "Blockchain and covid-19 pandemic: Applications and challenges," 4 2023.

[4] K. Alqararah, "Assessing the robustness of composite indicators: the case of the global innovation index," *Journal of Innovation and Entrepreneurship*, vol. 12, 9 2023.

[5] B. I. Kaufman, *From Iowa to President-Elect*, pp. 78–106. Cornell University Press, 3 2022.

[6] S. Ghosh, J. Lu, P. Das, and Z. Zhang, "Machine learning algorithms for merging satellite-based precipitation products and their application on meteorological drought monitoring over kenya," *Climate Dynamics*, vol. 62, pp. 141–163, 8 2023.

[7] A. Trpin, B. M. Boshkoska, and P. Boškoski, *BDAS - Poincaré Metric in Algorithms for Data Mining Tools*, pp. 195–203. Germany: Springer International Publishing, 4 2019.

[8] null The Lancet Healthy Longevity, "Older patients with cancer: evidence-based care needs evidence.," *The lancet. Healthy longevity*, vol. 2, pp. e678–e678, 11 2021.

[9] S. Tohir, "Dakwah dan pengembangan masyarakat," *Al-Risalah*, vol. 11, pp. 129–152, 8 2020.

[10] S. Rath, "Generation of health and its effect on the female labour market and economic development," *Journal of Economics and Economic Education Research*, vol. 22, 8 2021.

[11] M. Aabdien, I. Abdallah, and A. Awaisu, "Utilization of technology in continuing professional development and training for healthcare providers: Current trends and the future post-covid-19 era," *Journal of Emergency Medicine, Trauma and Acute Care*, vol. 2022, 1 2022.

[12] Y. Al-Taie and A. R. H. Al-zurfi, "Media marketing and its role in reducing political deception," *Revista Gestão Inovação e Tecnologias*, vol. 11, pp. 5693–5712, 10 2021.

[13] T. Mojtaba, K. M. Bagher, and B. Mehdi, "The relationship of islamic life style with dysfunctional attitudes in university students," 3 2014.

[14] N. Batten, B. Winter, G. Grgic, and K. Wiley, "A comparative study on the mandatory variation of covid-19 vaccination policies," *European Journal of Public Health*, vol. 33, 10 2023.

[15] İbrahim İskender Soyaslan, "Assessment of groundwater vulnerability using modified drastic-analytical hierarchy process model in bucak basin, turkey," *Arabian Journal of Geosciences*, vol. 13, pp. 1–12, 10 2020.

[16] S. Polanía and M. Camila, "Comunidades indígenas como factor de adaptación al cambio climático y mitigación de gases efecto invernadero," 12 2018.

[17] H. H. Al-Dmour, R. Masa'deh, A. Salman, M. Abuhashesh, and R. Al-Dmour, "Influence of social media platforms on public health protection against the covid-19 pandemic via the mediating effects of public health awareness and behavioral changes: Integrated model.," *Journal of medical Internet research*, vol. 22, pp. e19996–, 8 2020.

[18] P. Severino, S. Prosperi, A. D'Amato, C. Cestiè, V. Myftari, V. Maestrini, L. I. Birtolo, D. Filomena, M. V. Mariani, C. Lavalle, R. Badagliacca, M. Mancone, F. Fedele, and C. D. Vizza, "Telemedicine: an effective and low-cost lesson from the covid-19 pandemic for the management of heart failure patients.," *Current heart failure reports*, vol. 20, pp. 382–389, 9 2023.

[19] B. Mukhalalati, A. Awaisu, and M. S. E. Hajj, "Editorial: Responses to the covid-19 pandemic: Lessons learned from qatar university college of pharmacy," *Pharmacy Education*, vol. 20, pp. 78–81, 11 2020.

[20] T. Niet, N. Arianpoo, K. Kuling, and A. Wright, "Embedding the united nations sustainable development goals into energy systems analysis – expanding the food-energy-water nexus," 11 2020.

[21] W. C. Wood, "Strong numbers and weak perceptions: Understanding the "rodney dangerfield economy"," 3 2006.

[22] D. Mercer, "The role of attentional bias in excessive food consumption," 1 2019.

[23] Y. A. Phillis and B. J. Davis, "Assessment of corporate sustainability via fuzzy logic," *Journal of Intelligent and Robotic Systems*, vol. 55, pp. 3–20, 10 2008.

[24] Y. Yang, "Does economic growth induce smoking?—evidence from china," *Empirical Economics*, vol. 63, no. 2, pp. 821–845, 2022.

[25] L. Rojas, M. X. Rojas-Reyes, D. Rosselli, J. G. Ariza, A. Ruiz-Patiño, and A. F. Cardona, "Cost-utility analysis of genomic profiling in early breast cancer in colombia.," *Cost effectiveness and resource allocation : C/E*, vol. 21, pp. 42–, 7 2023.

[26] A. P. Hayen, B. Meijboom, and G. P. Westert, "Vertical integration of health insurance and care provision: Does it improve service delivery?," *International public health journal*, vol. 3, pp. 215–225, 4 2011.

[27] J. C. P. García, P. A. R. Tique, and M. P. T. Nossa, "Impacto oculto de la pandemia por sars-cov-2, un reto en pediatría," *Pediatría*, vol. 53, pp. 91–96, 9 2020.

[28] H. H. Abbas, "The correlation of emotional bonding between the stunting children under five years in the slum area of makassar city, indonesia," 8 2020.

[29] S. Rana, "Book review- understanding urban poverty in india: Experiences from mumbai," *GIS Business*, vol. 2, pp. 9–31, 2 2007.

[30] L. Nissen, "Pharmacist prescribing: What are the next steps?," *American journal of health-system pharmacy : AJHP : official journal of the American Society of Health-System Pharmacists*, vol. 68, pp. 2357–2361, 12 2011.

[31] L. W. Keeler, F. Beaudoin, A. Wiek, B. John, A. M. Lerner, R. Beecroft, K. Tamm, A. Seebacher, D. J. Lang, B. Kay, and N. Forrest, "Building actor-centric transformative capacity through city-university partnerships," *Ambio*, vol. 48, pp. 529–538, 11 2018.

[32] J. B. C., "The smoke nuisance," *Nature*, vol. 105, pp. 471–471, 6 1920.

[33] A. Oberoi, S. Kaur, J. Chhabra, and A. Jain, "Insights into covid-19 vaccine uptake frequency, inspirational and denial factors - cross sectional survey in the regions of punjab, india," *GLOBAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH ANALYSIS*, pp. 83–90, 9 2023.

[34] P. Ekins, *The Living Economy - Health is Wealth*. Routledge, 11 1986.

[35] A. Rhys-Taylor, "Food and urbanism: the convivial city and sustainable future (review)," 11 2016.

[36] W. Warcito, "Implementasi kompudaya sebagai sarana sumberdaya belajar bagi masyarakat," *Jurnal Kesejahteraan Sosial*, vol. 1, 11 2018.

[37] I. C. Bygbjerg, K. L. Schiøler, and F. Konradsen, "Climate- and vector-borne diseases," *Ugeskrift for laeger*, vol. 171, pp. 3175–3178, 10 2009.

[38] F. R. Lichtenberg and S. Virabhak, "Pharmaceutical-embodied technical progress, longevity, and quality of life: Drugs as," 11 2002.

[39] V. Xafis, "'what is inconvenient for you is life-saving for me': How health inequities are playing out during the covid-19 pandemic.," *Asian bioethics review*, vol. 12, pp. 223–234, 5 2020.

[40] C. A. Rietveld and P. C. Patel, "Prescription opioids and new business establishments," *Small Business Economics*, vol. 57, pp. 1175–1199, 4 2020.

[41] H. B. Kusuma, B. R. Cahyarini, and L. Samsara, "Inovasi pelayanan publik di era pandemi covid-19: Best practices di provinsi jawa barat," *Dinamika Governance : Jurnal Ilmu Administrasi Negara*, vol. 12, 4 2022.

[42] J. Wiblom, M. Andrée, and C.-J. Rundgren, "Navigating alarming media messages about nutrition and health: How students engage in critical examination of science in news media.," *Science & Education*, vol. 29, pp. 75–100, 1 2020.

[43] A. C. Poveda, "A retrospective analysis of the relationship between economy and health," 7 2020.

[44] A. Frølich, M. Schiøtz, M. Strandberg-Larsen, J. Hsu, A. Krasnik, F. Diderichsen, J. Bellows, J. Søgaard, and K. White, "A retrospective analysis of health systems in denmark and kaiser permanente," *BMC health services research*, vol. 8, pp. 252–252, 12 2008.

[45] I. Zemaitaityte, "The role of libraries in promoting adult education," *SOCIETY. INTEGRATION. EDUCATION. Proceedings of the International Scientific Conference*, vol. 4, pp. 193–203, 5 2016.

[46] R. M. Czarny, *The High North as a Part of the Global Climate System: Contemporary Challenges*, pp. 43–67. Springer International Publishing, 8 2015.

[47] S. Čaval, "Layering segregation in life and death: The social and environmental character of the bois marchand cemetery, mauritius," *International Journal of Historical Archaeology*, 7 2023.

[48] B. Dauda, Y. Denier, and K. Dierickx, "What do the various principles of justice mean within the concept of benefit sharing," *Journal of bioethical inquiry*, vol. 13, pp. 281–293, 1 2016.

[49] Y. B. Aemro, P. Moura, and A. T. de Almeida, "Energy access during and post-covid-19 pandemic in sub-saharan countries: the case of ethiopia.," *Environment, development and sustainability*, vol. 25, pp. 1236–1257, 1 2022.

[50] Z. Dankova, E. Novakova, M. Skerenova, V. Holubekova, V. Lucansky, D. Dvorská, D. Brany, Z. Kolková, J. Strnadel, S. Mersakova, K. Janíková, M. Samec, M. Pokusa, M. Petras, M. Sarlinova, I. Kasubova, D. Loderer, V. Sadlonova, J. Kompanikova, N. Kotlebova, A. Kompanikova, M. Hrnciarova, A. Stanclova, M. Antosova, A. Dzian, V. Nosal, I. Kocan, D. Murgas, D. Krkoska, A. Calkovska, and E. Halasova, "Comparison of sars-cov-2 detection by rapid antigen and by three commercial rt-qpcr tests: A study from martin university hospital in slovakia.," *International journal of environmental research and public health*, vol. 18, pp. 7037–, 7 2021.

[51] null Rahmaniza, null Fatma Nadia, null Rika Mianna, and null Triana Harlia Putri, "Mekanisme koping terhada tingkat kecemasan mahasiswa stikes al insyirah pekanbaru selama masa pandemi covid 19," *Al-Asalmiya Nursing: Jurnal Ilmu Keperawatan (Journal of Nursing Sciences)*, vol. 11, pp. 134–140, 12 2022.

[52] C. D. Anderson and R. M. McGregor, "Persuasion, partisanship and evaluations of national conditions and governmental impact: An experimental study," *American Review of Canadian Studies*, vol. 47, pp. 53–73, 1 2017.

[53] M. C. Roco, "Possibilities for global governance of converging technologies," *Journal of Nanoparticle Research*, vol. 10, pp. 11–29, 7 2007.

[54] O. M. Katipoğlu, R. Acar, and S. Şengül, "Comparison of meteorological indices for drought monitoring and evaluating: a case study from euphrates basin, turkey," *Journal of Water and Climate Change*, vol. 11, pp. 29–43, 11 2020.

[55] E. A. Hanushek, "The seeds of growth: The united states became the world's economic superpower over the course of the 20th century. but can today's education system be counted on to fertilize growth in the future? (forum)," *Education Next*, vol. 2, pp. 10–, 9 2002.

[56] K. R. Matthews and V. Ho, "The grand impact of the gates foundation. sixty billion dollars and one famous person can affect the spending and research focus of public agencies.," *EMBO reports*, vol. 9, pp. 409–412, 4 2008.

[57] A. N. Asthana, "Profitability prediction in cattle ranches in latin america: A machine learning approach," *Glob. Vet.*, vol. 4, no. 13, pp. 473–495, 2014.

[58] C. R. Muharrina, I. Susanti, null Nurlaila, S. Amalia, A. Zulbaini, null Maulida, and S. E. Ridha, "Penyuluhan pencegahan stunting pada ibu dengan balita di puskesmas kuta malaka aceh besar," *JOURNAL OF SUSTAINABLE COMMUNITY SERVICE*, vol. 1, pp. 24–30, 12 2020.

[59] C. Guccione, "Migration discourse and the new socially constructed meanings of the english lingua franca," *European Scientific Journal, ESJ*, vol. 18, pp. 33–, 6 2022.

[60] G. Meseret and G. M. Abebe, 6 2020.

[61] F. A. K. Bimpong, M. O. Asibey, and D. K. B. Inkoom, "Ghana's recently introduced e-waste regulatory policy: A hope for a better e-waste sector?," *Waste management & research : the journal of the International Solid Wastes and Public Cleansing Association, ISWA*, vol. 42, pp. 1031–1041, 10 2023.

[62] S. K. Essien, C. Feng, W. Sun, M. Farag, L. Li, and Y. Gao, "Sleep duration and sleep disturbances in association with falls among the middle-aged and older adults in china: a population-based nationwide study," *BMC geriatrics*, vol. 18, pp. 196–196, 8 2018.

[63] Y. Sano, S. Garasky, K. A. Greder, C. C. Cook, and D. E. Browder, "Understanding food insecurity among latino immigrant families in rural america," *Journal of Family and Economic Issues*, vol. 32, pp. 111–123, 8 2010.

[64] K. Daniel, T. K. H. Tran, H. D. Dang, and S. Fitzgerald, "Campaigning to save market women's livelihoods in hanoi: experience from healthbridge," *Gender & Development*, vol. 23, pp. 13–30, 1 2015.

[65] H. Akib, E. Wagianto, D. Daraba, U. Farida, and R. Niswaty, "Shift-share analysis of the development of local competence as a basis for interregional cooperation in west sulawesi province, indonesia," *Journal of Legal, Ethical and Regulatory Issues*, vol. 22, 2 2019.

[66] F. J. Baldan and J. M. Benítez, "Multivariable times series classification through an interpretable representation," 9 2020.

[67] C. Nachabe, *The Impact of the Syrian Refugee Crisis on the Lebanese Communities*, pp. 99–118. Springer International Publishing, 12 2019.

[68] M. F. Krantz, C. Hjorthøj, D. Ellersgaard, N. Hemager, C. Christiani, K. S. Spang, B. K. Burton, M. Gregersen, A. Søndergaard, A. Greve, J. Ohland, P. B. Mortensen, K. J. Plessen, V. Bliksted, J. R. M. Jepsen, A. A. E. Thorup, O. Mors, and M. Nordentoft, "Examining selection bias in a population-based cohort study of 522 children with familial high risk of schizophrenia or bipolar disorder, and controls: The danish high risk and resilience study via 7.," *Social psychiatry and psychiatric epidemiology*, vol. 58, pp. 113–140, 9 2022.

[69] F. da Luz Colomé and R. Mayer, "A ética do cuidado como uma gramática da ação: uma análise do consumo crítico em um mercado alternativo," *Ciências Sociais Unisinos*, vol. 52, pp. 425–436, 7 2016.

[70] A. Bågenholm, "The electoral fate and policy impact of "anti-corruption parties" in central and eastern europe," *Human Affairs*, vol. 23, pp. 174–195, 3 2013.